

HOSPITAL/HELICOPTER JUGGERNAUT

Should San Francisco General co-locate its hospital with the University of California at Mission Bay? Mayor Newsom's "Blue Ribbon Committee" answered this question on September 9. when they decided that co-location between UCSF and SF General will not take place. Was this decision made because co-location is not the best or most efficient means for delivering quality health care to the citizens of San Francisco? No, the hospitals will not co-locate for a number of lesser reasons. Chief among these is reflected in UCSF CEO, Mark Laret's stated fear that San Francisco General attracts the kind of clientele who would have a negative effect on the University's bond rating. He is afraid that the wrong kind of unstable people wandering around his campus would scare the money away.

Nevertheless, the majority of the staff at SF General Hospital are UCSF medical trainees who are learning how to practice medicine on people with no health insurance. The sad fact is that San Francisco General has for years been the back alley for UCSF and it appears that the University would like to keep it that way.

However, reticence to co-locate does not come only from UCSF. San Francisco General and the City Health Department offer fine examples of bureaucratic inertia. While they sat on their hands for years, the price of land at Mission Bay continued to inflate. Nine acres just sold for \$81 million. Also, the site SF General had chosen for its hospital at Mission Bay has been scooped up by UCSF for its own mega-hospital and trauma center.

And the tie-in with helicopters, which has been a long-standing issue of the Potrero neighbors? Just this — both UCSF and SF General are actively planning to build helicopter landing facilities less than a mile from each other at their respective locations at Mission Bay and at Potrero Avenue.

Meanwhile, hospital neighbors continue to be excluded from the decision-making process. See the following letter to Mayor Newsom:

September 7, 2005

Dear Mayor Newsom:

It is with regret that we find it necessary to write this letter of complaint. As you know, helicopter landings at San Francisco General Hospital on Potrero Avenue would profoundly affect our neighborhood. We have been straightforward in our opposition to a helicopter landing facility at this location.

Our protest now concerns our being systematically excluded from the decision-making process. Frankly, our experience with



Meet our new neighbors!

your office has been abominable. We have made appointments with members of your staff, only to be stood up without explanation. We have raised our concerns at your "Town Meetings," where you promised to get personally involved, only to never hear from you. We have called you, written to you and even asked our Supervisor, Sophie Maxwell, to hand-deliver our request for a meeting with you, and have received no response. Mayor Newsom, you found time to drop by our member's house to attend a party in your honor when you were running for mayor. We understand that now your plate is quite full. However, we would still appreciate a response to our concerns.

Now you have appointed a "Blue Ribbon Committee" to recommend a location for the rebuild of the San Francisco General Hospital trauma unit. It was only following our protests to Supervisor Maxwell that you allowed a hospital neighbor to join the committee. Unfortunately, by that time the committee had already had meetings where the helicopter issue was discussed.

We understand that a decision will be made by consensus, but we were told there would be no vote. At this time, we do not even know what the criteria for a decision will be. However, from the tone of these meetings, we are getting the impression that the fix is already in. Mayor Newsom, we find this unacceptable.

...Chris Sabre (EMIA)

CSFN ENDORSEMENTS 2005

- Prop A City College Bonds.....No Endorsement
Prop B Bonds for Potholes, Bike Lanes, Etc?.....NO
Prop C Ethics Commission funding.....No Endorsement
Prop D MUNI Commission Supervisors' Appointments..NO
Prop F Neighborhood Firehouses..... YES
Prop G GG Park Entrance..... YES
Prop H Handgun Prohibition .....NO

## PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

Another month, and yet more of the proposed Better Neighborhoods Planning & Implementation Process legislation meetings upon meetings upon meetings... By now they have over the 90 days consideration which we originally had requested, however the content has changed so many times that at one time there were three drafts which came out in as many days!

**Paul Scott**, past President of Telegraph Hill Dwellers, is heading up the *Citizens to Save the Waterfront*, working to defeat the mall-builders Mills Corporation. We looked up the letter we had written after the vote last June 2004 which supported the stand of the *Save the Waterfront* group. After reading the letter and speaking with Paul, we are assured that they are using the CSFN name properly in the group's mailings. They will present updates to the CSFN Land Use & Housing Committee meetings. You will find Paul's update and an alert of a Board hearing which will take place this coming Monday elsewhere in this newsletter.

**Bud Wilson** was recently on **Arthur Bruzzone's** *San Francisco Unscripted: a weekly TV series on the city's politics, culture, and personalities*, where he gave a sterling presentation on berthing the USS Iowa in SF. I didn't find a streaming video at the *Unscripted* website, but if you visit <http://www.sfunscripted.com/PastTemp.html> you will see a lovely photo of Bud and post production notes. If you missed the program, I'm sure Bud has a tape of it!

The Annual Dinner is coming along nicely... Sponsor letters have gone out recently. If you did not get one, or if you'd like more copies, please let **Lionel Brazil** know. One of the things we really want to encourage this year for the neighborhood groups to become Sponsors. So please take the Sponsor Letter to your group's next meeting to get their Sponsorship! The dinner invitations themselves will be sent out next month.

This month our spotlight is on the danger that a big earthquake presents to us. We want to make a point of the connection to urban land use in our October 18<sup>th</sup> program. Exactly how much damage and loss of life will occur when you knowingly build 200' - 500' towers smack dab in earthquake fault zones? Two prominent speakers, **Laurence Kornfield** and **David Bonowitz** will address us on this issue. Kornfield is the City and County of San Francisco's Chief Building Inspector. For more than 10 years, Bonowitz has been a strong and vocal advocate of earthquake risk reduction in northern California. In particular, he has been very active in the earthquake committees of the Structural Engineers Association of Northern California. This year he was presented with the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute of Northern California's Annual Chapter Award for *Innovation and Exemplary Practice in Earthquake Risk Reduction*.

Because of a recent injury, I am having a rather difficult time sitting at the computer; as a consequence this message will be uncharacteristically brief.

The October 18<sup>th</sup> General Assembly meeting should be really informative and interesting for us all. I hope to see you all there!

...Judith Berkowitz (EMIA) President

## SEISMIC SAFETY ADVOCATES

*Seismic Safety Advocates*, an ad hoc group of engineers, city planners, union members, and other interested parties, have met informally to develop action items to suggest to the Mayor, i.e.:

### LAND USE

1. According to the structural/fire engineers in our group, land use in San Francisco inherently has seismic prerequisites. Self-evacuation by occupants/residents is constrained by 200', 300', 400', and 500' building heights as the SF Fire Department and other public safety personnel will not be able to assist in evacuation.
2. According to the City Planners in our group, the Community Safety Element of the General Plan needs updating/renaming to strengthen policy and define implementation programs for seismic safety.

### HOUSING STOCK

1. Foundation retrofit upon transfer of housing should be required.
2. NERT certification should be required for all property/building managers.
3. The City should require disclosure at building entranceways as follows:
  - A. for those entering/leasing bolt & tie un-reinforced masonry buildings
  - B. that tenants/residents will be responsible for:
    - 1.) self-evacuation
    - 2.) putting out small fires; and
    - 3.) the rescue of others in the building as required.

### UNION CONTRACTS

1. According to Local 21 reps (speaking for themselves), union contracts should include NERT training/certification (including CPR) and annual retraining/re-certification.
2. Prepositioning of 10 days of emergency supplies for all employees at all City offices should be required in the contract as all City employees will be required to be disaster workers in the event of a quake.

### RED CROSS EMERGENCY SURVIVAL BACKPACS TO CITY VOTERS VIA VOUCHERS

1. Red Cross Emergency Survival Backpack vouchers should be given free of charge to all voters on election day (absentees to be mailed) as residents are not prepared with supplies that are portable. This would include a 7-day supply of portable food, water, medical, hygiene and shelter materials to 215,000 residents. Volume discounts/vendor donations would reduce the cost per backpack; FEMA money may offset costs too. Vouchers would be redeemable at police/fire stations.

### AUTOMATIC GAS SHUTOFFS

Automatic gas shutoff at delivery point or withdrawal of service should be required.

### ADMINISTRATIVE

1. Fire Inspectors should check local fire suppression capacity in residents/tenants.
2. Fire Inspectors should check hotels, residential hotels, schools, etc for emergency supplies.

Plus other more technical matters to be discussed. We hope to discuss these points at the CSFN's Land Use Committee meeting on Monday, Oct. 17<sup>th</sup> to see if CSFN should endorse this list and/or prepare its own comments for the Mayor on the subject of seismic public safety and evacuation.

...Charles Marsteller (Van Ness Neighbors)

## **PREPAREDNESS IN THE NEIGHBORHOODS**

During our lifetime it is probable that we will face a catastrophic earthquake in the San Francisco Bay Area. There is a 62% probability for one or more magnitude 6.7 or greater earthquakes between now and 2032. We have been living on borrowed time. Our continued failure to prepare for impending disasters will severely impact us.

Our City is at risk, and action needs to be taken to reduce its vulnerability.

There is nothing San Francisco can do that is more important than disaster preparedness.

Of all American cities, San Francisco is probably the most vulnerable to catastrophic disaster. Much of the city was built on sand, landfill, and other non-compacted soil, which is subject to liquefaction. Our housing stock is the oldest in the West. And with 75% of the rental housing stock covered by rent control, much of the housing stock is poorly maintained.

San Francisco has approximately 350,000 housing units. Of that total, The Association of Bay Area Governments, ABAG, projects that 37,600 housing units would be rendered uninhabitable by a magnitude 7.1 earthquake on the Hayward fault. Depending on the location and severity of the quake. Other ABAG scenarios have loss estimates up to 83,000 housing units in San Francisco.

The vast majority of the buildings in San Francisco are residential, and our older housing stock is most vulnerable to failure. It is that risk that poses the greatest threat to our citizens. As long as earthquakes threaten a large percentage of our housing stock, the very essence of our city is in jeopardy.

The economic loss from the next big earthquake to hit the urbanized Bay Area is likely to surpass the ten most expensive disasters in the United States history combined.

The City has failed in its efforts to address earthquake preparedness in San Francisco, and to improve post-earthquake response policy

While our understanding of seismic events and their physical effects improves, the risk factors become more complicated. In the last 20 years, the Bay Area has grown from three million to over eight million people. With that growth our reliance on a complex infrastructure has increased. San Francisco relies on water imported from the Tuolumne River using 280 miles of pipelines, 60 miles of tunnels, and 11 reservoirs. Bay Area airports, bridges, and road systems are all vulnerable to fault movement and the liquefaction of unstable soils.

The economic consequences of a major earthquake in this densely populated region are nearly beyond comprehension. Are we prepared for a \$100 or \$150 billion disaster across the entire Bay Area?

Earthquake-related risks are not limited to damage, injury, or loss of life from the immediate shaking of the

ground at the time an earthquake occurs. Other significant risks include: fire, breaks in utility lines, loss of economic activity, transportation breakdown, loss of housing, and inundation.

San Francisco cannot expect to rely on neighboring communities to supplement the city's needs.

What is lacking is a consistent willingness to marshal the resources necessary to make changes that will significantly reduce the impact of future earthquakes. We need to revisit our policies relative to reducing earthquake, and other disaster-related hazards in San Francisco.

### **THE FEDERAL DISASTER MITIGATION ACT OF 2000 (DMA 2000)**

DMA 2000 requires State and local communities to have an approved hazard mitigation plan in place by November 2004 in order to be eligible for pre- and post-hazard mitigation grant funds. In the past, Federal legislation has provided funding for disaster relief, recovery, and, in some cases, hazard-mitigation planning. DMA 2000 is the latest legislation intended to improve the state and local planning process, and reinforces the importance of planning for disasters before they occur. The act requires that a pre-disaster hazard mitigation program be approved and adopted before a community can be eligible to receive funds from the Federal post-disaster Hazard Mitigation Grant Program. A community's Disaster Mitigation Plan is expected to comply with guidelines issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), including hazard identification and analysis, an analysis of the community's vulnerability and an estimate of potential losses, an assessment of the community's capabilities, and establishing targeted objectives.

### **COMMUNITY ACTION PLAN FOR SEISMIC SAFETY**

In 2000, the City's Department of Building Inspection (DBI) initiated a program, known as the Community Action Plan for Seismic Safety (CAPSS), with the mission to develop and implement a work plan for reducing earthquake risks, and to improve post-earthquake response policy. CAPSS was funded entirely by non-General Fund monies. CAPSS' mandate was to address the effect of seismic events on all privately owned property in San Francisco.

Chief Building Inspector Laurence Kornfield administrated the program for the City.

CAPSS was divided into three phases:

Phase I was completed in late 2000, and was an effort by DBI to develop a detailed workplan to evaluate the seismic risks facing the community, and to recommend feasible and practical measures to reduce those risks.

Phase II had three elements, with the following stated objectives:

Task 1: Prepare an impact assessment that develops estimates of the impacts on lives, housing, and the San Francisco economy, based upon a set of

likely earthquake scenarios

Task 2: Formulate earthquake repair criteria

Task 3: Identify activities that would reduce seismic risks in San Francisco

Phase III was intended to implement the recommendations of Task 3.

CAPSS made the following preliminary conclusions:

- The Mission, Downtown (including SOMA) and the Sunset districts would sustain the highest number of lost units.
- Wood frame buildings in the Sunset and Richmond (especially corner buildings with soft stories) pose the greatest potential loss in San Francisco.
- San Francisco could lose between 8 and 29 percent of its building stock
- One and two family homes will account for between 4 and 38 percent of the direct dollar losses
- Post-earthquake fires could increase losses by 20 to 50 percent
- A major earthquake will have a significant fiscal impact on San Francisco In the Spring of 2003, two and a half years into the project, when its foundational analysis was 80 percent complete, the Building Inspection Commission was pressured to terminate CAPSS. As a result, CAPSS' essential mandate and work-product was stopped before its benefits could be produced and submitted to the City's legislative bodies.

When the project was terminated. Approximately \$438,000 had been billed for the work done on Phase II.

It is that final part of the project, Phase III, which would actually save lives, reduce property damage, and mitigate the many other effects of future earthquakes.

John Paxton has a real estate advisory practice in San Francisco. He has been a long-time member of the SPUR Housing Committee, and served on the CAPSS Project Advisory Committee.

Last year Mr. Paxton made the following 8 recommendations in a article, San Francisco at Risk published in SPUR's August 2004 newsletter:

1. The Mayor's Office should oversee disaster preparedness, and assume the responsibility of completing CAPSS and DMA 2000.
2. CAPSS should be reestablished, and its original purpose should be brought to fruition
3. CAPSS should identify future impacts that are outside of its purview, but that are likely to have the greatest impact on the city, and merit future analysis and planning.
4. Voluntary maintenance, repair, and seismic upgrading will reduce the risk of damage to San Francisco's housing stock.
5. The City should provide financial assistance and affirmative incentives to encourage the seismic strengthening of susceptible buildings.
6. The Board of Supervisors should make changes to the Planning and Building Codes and the Rent Control

Ordinance that would increase incentives for property owners to perform voluntary repair, maintenance, and structural upgrading.

7. All future policies and incentives should encourage structural upgrading of unreinforced masonry buildings as well as larger structures.
8. San Francisco needs to allocate the resources necessary to address the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act. Mr. Paxton says: "the CAPSS program was an extraordinary step in the right direction; its original purpose should be brought to fruition, and used as a foundation to seek a comprehensive pre-disaster plan."

A year later we call on our city leaders to carry out Mr. Paxton's recommendations.

...submitted by Richard Shadoian (CVIA)

## THE NEXT GREAT QUAKE

Worth watching; worth taping. This informative television program has new and vastly more pointed info. The next quake will be so devastating that it will make 1989's look like child's play. It will likely happen within 3 years, not 30, as has been predicted many times, and will destroy SF as we know it.

### The Next Great Quake

10:00 PM, Wednesday, October 19

KRON, Channel 4

KRON 4 Science Editor **Brian Hackney** and his investigative team bring another outstanding earthquake documentary to Bay Area televisions. *The Next Great Quake* is a follow-up to the popular *Earthquakes: Where the Fault Lies* documentary that detailed where potentially dangerous fault lines are haunting communities underneath several Bay Area cities.

In *The Next Great Quake*, Brian Hackney uncovers even more potentially dangerous faults and where the Bay Area is most vulnerable. In addition to other local sites, the Rodgers Creek Fault in the North Bay could be the epicenter of an impending disaster. Hackney and crew also explore how scientists are able to predict earthquakes, from drilling deep into the earth to various forms of electronic equipment. In addition to discussion earthquake predictions, *The Next Great Quake* also takes a look back at the 1906 quake, tracing the geological events that lead to the biggest earthquake in the history of the United States.

It is scheduled to air Wednesday October 19 at 10:00PM.

## COALITION FOR SAN FRANCISCO NEIGHBORHOODS

Neighborhood Views is published monthly, the official voice of the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, Inc., a 501(c)4 Organization.

**To Submit Articles:** email articles by the fifth of the month to: dougcoms@aol.com .Articles reflect the opinions of the submitter, not necessarily the opinion of the CSFN. We invite material from member organizations as well as rebuttal to articles already printed. Articles are written by the editor unless otherwise designated. We reserve the right to edit where necessary. Member organizations receive the newsletter without charge. Copies: Members/\$10, Non-members/\$15.

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT FOR SEPTEMBER 28, 2005

The CSFN ExComm was called to order at 7:10PM at its regularly scheduled meeting on September 28, 2005 at Northern Police Station by Chair Steven Gruel. Present were: Judith Berkowitz, Lionel Brazil, Barbara Meskunas, Eileen Boken, Dick Millet, Evelyn Wilson, and Richard Shadoian. Excused were: Doug Comstock, Bud Wilson. Guests: Ramona Albright, Babette Drefke, Joan Girardot and Emeric Kalman.

**President's Report:** President Judith Berkowitz reported that • she met with Mike Antonini regarding BN+. She stated that a meeting is also set with Sue Lee. Dwight Alexander has not yet answered her request for a meeting in regard to BN+. • The next meeting with the Mayor will be in early November; no date has been set.

**1<sup>st</sup> Vice President:** No report.

**2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President:** No report.

**Corresponding Secretary:** No report.

**Recording Secretary:** Draft minutes of September CSFN general meeting are finished and will be reviewed by the President.

**Treasurer:** Barbara Meskunas submitted a written report to the ExComm. A new membership sign-in sheet was provided to the Recording Secretary.

**Program:** The October 18, 2005 program will consist of presentations by representatives who will speak on the topics of seismic safety/earthquake aftermath and NERT with special emphasis on how it relates to urban land use.

**Unfinished Business:** There was discussion about the CSFN dinner which has been rescheduled to December 20, 2005. Awards will be discussed and decided on next month. Possible speakers include the Mayor, Matier & Ross, PJ Corkery, Rich De Leon, and John Burton.

**New Business:** Evelyn Wilson mentioned that she thought the organization Save the Waterfront might possibly be using the CSFN name without reason. Evelyn presented a flyer from Save the Waterfront that she had questions about because it listed the CSFN as a supporter of their position. President Berkowitz will look into what letters CSFN has written and what resolutions CSFN has passed in regard to positions on development at Piers 27–31. Former Corresponding Secretary Eileen Boken recalled a letter as a result of a vote sometime last year.

Joan Girardot presented two resolutions to the ExComm requesting letters to the Planning Commission concerning the Marina Draft EIR. Both resolutions for these ExComm letters were duly moved, seconded, discussed and passed unanimously: (1) **Resolved** that the Executive Committee of the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods urges the Planning Commission to recommend that the scope of the Marina Harbor Project be expanded to include seismic retrofit of the Marina Boulevard's seawall and the Fair's seawall; (2) **Resolved** that the Executive Committee of

the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods urges the Planning Commission to recommend to the Recreation and Parks Department to retain all 282 berths for small boats at the Marina Harbor.

**Announcements:** • Richard Shadoian discussed the garbage rate proposals. • The next ExComm meeting will be October 26, 2005 at 7:00PM.

**Adjournment** at 8:20PM.

...Submitted by Steven F. Gruel (GGHNA) Chair and  
Richard Shadoian (CVIA) 2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President.

## MASSIVE MALL ON WATERFRONT?

National mega-mall developer Mills Corporation has begun a push to convince City officials to approve their proposed shopping mall, office, and so-called "recreation project" for Piers 27, 29 and 31 along the Northeast Waterfront.

The Board of Supervisor's Government Audits and Oversight Committee will take up the fiscal feasibility of the project on **October 17, 2005 at 1:30 p.m. at City Hall in the Board Chambers, Room #250.**

As many of you already know, there are numerous serious problems with the proposed project. (CSFN voted against the Mills plan in June 2004. See CSFN's July 2004 Newsletter). The problems include:

- **Too much Mall and Office Space** — The Mills plan calls for up to 45 stores, many of them expected to be large chains — and new office space equal to 1/3 the size of the Transamerica Pyramid.
- **Too Little Recreation** — actual recreation area is only about 1/3 of the project — instead of the real recreation project (called for by the Waterfront Plan) that Mills defeated in a backroom deal.
- **Creates Traffic Gridlock** — City transportation experts predict thousands more car, bus and truck trips per day on the already congested Embarcadero.
- **A Questionable Deal for San Francisco** — Under the Mills proposal, the Port would receive less guaranteed rent over the next ten years than would be paid by existing tenants. The YMCA has failed to raise the \$30 million it said would be contributed to fund recreation in the project.

*Citizens to Save the Waterfront* is working with numerous City organizations, including the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, numerous neighborhood groups, environmental organizations, and local businesses to try and stop this project.

But Mills is a well-financed and well-connected multinational corporation, giving hefty political contributions and running full-page ads in local newspapers (that do not even mention the mall or office park) to try and sell their project.

We are therefore urgently asking that CSFN's member organizations help their own members learn the real facts, by forwarding this email and inviting folks to visit [www.onewaterfront.org](http://www.onewaterfront.org). Those interested in getting involved should contact Jon Golinger at [SaveSFwaterfront@aol.com](mailto:SaveSFwaterfront@aol.com) or (415) 566-5184.

...Paul Scott (THD), President Citizens to Save the Waterfront

## CSFN DRAFT MINUTES:

General Meeting 20 September 2005

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER by President Judy Berkowitz at 7:15 pm at Northern Police Station on Tuesday, 20 September 2005.

QUORUM ascertained: 23 organizations and 9 guests.

INTRODUCTION of Delegates and Guests.

PRESENTATION by HOST ORGANIZATIONS:

Panhandle Residents Assoc. & Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Assoc.

MINUTES of 16 August '05 MEETING "approved" as published in the September '05 newsletter, page 4.

OFFICERS' REPORTS:

PRESIDENT'S

MESSAGE: Judy Berkowitz page 3 Sept. '05 Newsletter.

The Annual Dinner has been postponed until December 20th, therefore combined with Annual Christmas Party. There will be a regular meeting in October. Regarding the August Newsletter article "Natural Areas Program: An Update" and the September rebuttal "On Trees, Dogs & Myopia", As president of the CSFN she assumes full responsibility for the "Trees, Dogs, Myopia" article going into the September. It was forwarded to her but, as she has been injured and could not read it before it was printed, other members of the Newsletter Committee had read it and were OK

with it. She did not believe that the intent was bad.

1st VICE PREZ, Steve Gruel: NONE

2nd VICE PREZ, Richard Shadoian: NONE

RECORDING SEC'Y, Dick Millet: 16 August '05 Minutes "approved".

TREASURER, B. Meskunas, paid \$1,152.00 for Ballot arguments.

CORRESPONDING SECRETARY, Lionel Brazil: NONE

ANNOUNCEMENTS: • Better Neighborhoods Implementation Plan hearings: Thursday, October 6th Planning Commission; Wednesday, October 12th Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors • Sat, 8 October: New Mission Terrace Festival@ Ocean & Onondaga Sts.

"FRIENDS OF THE MUSIC CONCOURSE":

Katherine Howard spoke requesting CSFN help in lobbying the Supervisors for "Landmark Status" for Golden Gate Park's Music Concourse, including the "pollarded trees". The Planning Department and Landmarks Advisory have written legislation that is now moving to the Board of Supervisors for their approval. Please write the Supes or mail in the handed out post cards as support.

COMMITTEE REPORTS: see page 5, 6 & 7 of September Newsletter.

Action ITEMS: LAND USE & HOUSING COMMITTEE: page 6, Sept. 05 Newsletter. • "That the CSFN ratify actions up to this date on the proposed "Better

Neighborhoods Implementation Plan" legislation submitted to the Planning Commission on September 15th '05. MOVED (Bardis), 2nd, PASSED (19 yes, 1 no, 1 abs. emergency vote not required. • "That the CSFN oppose the proposed "Better Neighborhoods Implementation Plan" legislation as is currently written." PASSED (19 yes, 1 no, 1 abs. emergency vote not required.

PROGRAM: by GOVERNMENT & ELECTIONS COMMITTEE SHOULD the CSFN "support" positions taken by the G & E Committee on the following Propositions:

PROPOSITION "A": SF City College Bonds.

Opposed by G&E Committee. Drs. Phil Day and Milton Marks III of SF City College spoke in support of Bond measure. No one spoke in opposition. Membership VOTE: "Oppose Prop. 'A': MOVED (Meskunas), 2nd, FAILED: (8 yes, 11 no, 1 abs)

PROPOSITION "G": Not remove parking along Martin Luther King Drive in Golden Gate Park. Nancy Conner spoke in support of the Prop. No one spoke in opposition. Membership VOTE: "Support Prop. 'G': MOVED (Meskunas), 2nd, PASSED (21 yes, 0, 0)

CITY CANDIDATES: "VOTE FOR ME" for "City Attorney" DENNIS HERRERA, second term (unopposed). For "City Treasurer" JOSE CISNEROS, Incumbent, CALVIN LOUIE, CPA. For "City Assessor" PHIL



Mary Harris will present Proposition A for vote by the CSFN on Tuesday

TING, Incumbent, GERARDO SANDOVAL, currently a Supervisor.

NEW BUSINESS: Support Prop A SF City College Bonds. Moved Mary Harris Seconded Tony Sacco.

ADJOURNMENT: 9:14 pm.

...Dick Millet (PBNA) Recording Secretary

## LAND USE & HOUSING COMMITTEE

Notice of Special Meeting of CSFN Land Use & Housing Committee on Monday, October 17th at 6:00 p.m.

At the October 3rd Regular Meeting of the Committee, the Committee was alerted that the Planning Commission was postponing to October 20th the public hearing that originally was scheduled for the 6th of October regarding the proposed Better Neighborhoods Planning legislation.

As we discussed, if the Commission did postpone the hearing to the 20th of October, there will be a Special Meeting of the LU&H Committee at 6:00 p.m. on Monday, the 17th of October in the Community Room of the Northern Police Station located at Turk and Fillmore Streets.

Everyone is urged to attend and be brought up to date regarding the latest efforts by the proponents of this legislation to bypass the required legislative public review process to amend without notice outside the Board of Supervisors the legislation introduced on the Board of Supervisors that is now before the Planning Commission for its review and action.

We need to prepare for the October 20th hearing. It is very likely the Planning Commission will take action on the proposed legislation at this public hearing next Thursday.

We need to prepare public comment in support of the position passed by the CSFN General Assembly regarding the legislation urging the Planning Commission to recommend the Board of Supervisors to: 1) not to adopt the proposed legislation as presently drafted as an amendment to the Administrative Code but to redraft and reintroduce this legislative measure as a proposed amendment to the Planning Code; 2) include in the redrafted legislation the amendments proposed by the CSFN to improve the proposed legislation; and 3) refer the proposed redrafted legislation as an amendment to the Planning Code to the Planning Commission for its public review and consideration.

It will be an important meeting. All committee members are urged to attend.

...John Bardis (ISAC) Chair

## How To Reach Us

President: Judith Berkowitz • sfjberk@mac.com • 824-0617  
1st Vice President: Steve Gruel • attystevengruel@sbcglobal.net  
2nd Vice President: Richard Shadoian • sfrichard@earthlink.net  
Treasurer: Barbara Meskunas • sfmeskunas@aol.com  
Recording Secretary: Dick Millet • milletdick@yahoo.com  
Corresp. Secretary: Lionel Brazil • lbrazil@excelsiordistrict.org  
Member at Large: Eileen Boken • aeboken@msn.com  
Member at Large: Doug Comstock • dougcoms@aol.com  
Member at Large: Bud Wilson • ewilson981@msn.com

Parliamentarian: Evelyn Wilson,  
evelynwilsregparl@earthlink.net

**262-0440**



## Thanks to Our Sponsors 2005

### BENEFACTORS

*San Francisco Apartment Assn.*

*Residential Builders Assn.*

*Spotlight Printing*

### PATRONS

*Rebecca Silverberg*

*Retired Firemen & Widows Assn. of SFFD*

*Law Offices of Angela Alioto*

### SPONSORS

*Katherine Howard, ASLA*

*Ramona Albright*

*Sharon M. Eberhardt*

*Robert L. Speer*

*Lee Ann Prifti*

*David & Karen Crommie*

*Mary McAllister*

*Cheryl C. Brodie*

*Dick Millet*

*Kelly & David Pascal*

*OMI Neighbors in Action*

*Al & Mary Harris*

*Joan Girardot*

*Suzanne D. Cauthen*

*Judith Berkowitz*

*Doug Comstock*

*Barbara Meskunas*

*John Bardis*

*Ed Jew/SWEAP*

*Charles B. Dicke*

*Cow Hollow Assn.*

*Anita Grier*

*Greg Corrales*

*Jim Siegel*

*Francis Somsel*

*Patricia Vaughney*

*New Mission Terrace Improvement Assn.*

*Leland Yee, Speaker Pro Tem, Assembly, 12th District*

*Hon. Quentin Kopp*



## Committee Meetings

**Land Use & Housing** • Monday Oct 17th 6PM;  
at Northern Police Stn.

Chair: John Bardis • jbardis@xdm.com 776-2014

**Bylaws** Chair: Evelyn Wilson: evelynwilsregparl@earthlink.net 566-7826

**Government and Elections** • Chair: Barbara Meskunas  
sfmeskunas@aol.com

**Newsletter** Chair: Mary Helen Briscoe 346-1448

**Open Space** Chair: Ramona Albright 621-9621

**Water Task Force** Chair: Joan Girardot 346-5525

